



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/707,413	11/06/2000	Ramkumar Subramanian	E0794	9964

7590 07/29/2003
Himanshu S Amin
Amin Eschweiler & Turocy LLP
24th Floor National City Center
1900 East 9th Street
Cleveland, OH 44114

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliance with
37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No.
09/707,413

Applicant(s)
Subramanian et al.

Examiner
Ljiljana V. Ciric

Art Unit
3743



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The Appeal Brief filed on Jun 25, 2003 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) ☐ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

(A) Corrected formal drawings including the previously approved proposed drawing corrections have not been submitted as required. (B) Arguments relating to the supposed prematurity of the final rejection and to the refusal to enter an after-final amendment, as well as any request for the removal of finality are generally petitionable but not appealable matters. (C) The status of the claims is incorrect; claim 20 has never been rejected and is withdrawn from consideration; hence, claim 20 cannot be under appeal. (D) Both the statement of the issues and the arguments contain inconsistencies and inaccuracies related to the purported basis of the rejections under appeal. (D) The statement relating to the grouping of the claims is incomplete, failing to address all of the rejected claims.

**LJILJANA V. CIRIC
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3743**